United States District Court Southern District of Ohio

Related Case Memorandum Civil Cases

10:	Judge Matthew W. McFarland and Judge Michael R. Barrett						
FROM:	Emily Hiltz		_ , Case Ad	ministrator			
DATE:	7/1/2021		_				
SUBJECT:	Case Caption:	William Pov	well v. The k	Kroger Company,	et al.		
CASE:	Case Number: Doc. 1:21-0		v-345 1				
DISTRICT Л	JDGE:	Matthew W File Date:	Matthew W. McFarland 7/7/2020 – Case filed in District of Colorado file Date: 5/21/2021 – Case transferred to Southern District of Ohio				
This memorandum is to notify you that the Notice of Related Cases (Doc. 86) in the above referenced case reflects the following alleged related cases:							
Related Case	:						
Case Caption:	Mullins	Mullins v. The Kroger Company, et al.					
Case Number: Doc. 1:19		9-cv-964 1		District Judge:	Michael R. Barrett		
File Date: 11/12/20		19		Magistrate Judg	e:		
Related Case	e(s):						
Case Caption:	Wilson v	Wilson v. The Kroger Company, et al.					
Case Number	e Number: 1:20-cv-00936 1			District Judge:	Michael R. Barrett		
File Date: 11/5/2019		9		Magistrate Judge	e:		

Case: 1:19-cv-00964-MRB Doc #: 76 Filed: 07/07/21 Page: 2 of 3 PAGEID #: 1777

Memo Re: Related Civil Cases

Page

Related Case(s):			
Case Caption:	Levi v. The Kroger Company et al		
Case Number:	Doc. 1:21-cv-42 1	District Judge: Michael R. Barrett	
File Date:	1/19/2021	Magistrate Judge:	
Related Case(s):			
Case Caption:	Schell, et al. v. The Kroger Company		
Case Number:	Doc. 1:21-cv-103 1	District Judge: Michael R. Barrett	
File Date:	2/11/2021	Magistrate Judge:	
Related Case(s):			
Case Caption:	Smith v. The Kroger Company, et al.		
Case Number:	Doc. 1:21-ev-350 1	District Judge: Michael R. Barrett	
File Date:	5/25/2021	Magistrate Judge:	

Case: 1:19-cv-00964-MRB Doc #: 76 Filed: 07/07/21 Page: 3 of 3 PAGEID #: 1778 Memo Re: Related Civil Cases Page The District Judges having conferred, we respond to Case Administrator **Emily Hiltz** as follows: Judges' Response: We agree that the cases are **not** related and that the subject case should remain with the Judge to whom it is assigned. We agree that the cases are related and that the subject case should be X transferred to the docket of Judge Barrett We agree that although the cases are related, the subject case nevertheless should remain with the Judge to whom it was assigned. We are unable to agree and will accept any decision made by the Chief Judge. I am the Judge on both/all of the listed cases and have determined that the cases are **not** related. I am the Judge on both/all of the listed cases and have determined that the cases are related and they shall both/all remain on my docket. Other Direction of Judge: United States District Judge /s/ Judge Matthew W. McFarland United States District Judge s/Michael R. Barrett

United States District Judge

Cc: Courtroom Deputies